

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 MARVIN D. RICHARD,  
5 Petitioner,  
6 v.

7 JOE GENTRY, *et al.*,  
8 Respondents.  
9

Case No. 2:18-cv-00181-KJD-NJK  
ORDER

10  
11 In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Marvin D. Richard, represented by  
12 counsel, filed a second amended habeas petition on October 4, 2018 (ECF No. 18).  
13 Respondents were then due to file a response to the second amended petition by  
14 December 3, 2018. See Order entered March 29, 2018 (ECF No. 14) (December 2 is a  
15 Sunday).

16 On November 30, 2018, Respondents filed a motion for an extension of time (ECF  
17 No. 25), requesting an extension of time to January 31, 2019 – a 59-day extension – to  
18 file their response to the second amended petition. This would be the first extension of  
19 this deadline. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary  
20 because of her obligations in other cases. Richard's counsel does not oppose the motion  
21 for extension of time. The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is  
22 made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause  
23 for the extension of time requested.

24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time  
25 (ECF No. 25) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until **January 31, 2019**, to file a  
26 response to the second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

27 ///

28 ///

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further  
2 proceedings set forth in the order entered March 29, 2018 (ECF No. 14) will remain in  
3 effect.

4  
5           DATED THIS 6th day of December, 2018.

6  
7           

8           \_\_\_\_\_  
9 KENT J. DAWSON,  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28